SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S075616 PEOPLE v. GONZALES

(JOHN ANTHONY) & SOLIZ

(MICHAEL)

Rehearing denied

The petition for rehearing is denied.

S195069 B224990 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 **HUGHES (CHRIS) v.** PROGRESSIVE DIRECT **INSURANCE COMPANY**

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The applications to appear as counsel pro hac vice are granted.

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in Zhang v. Superior Court, S178542 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S195336 B230886 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. S.C. (GILBERT)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of related issues in People v. Superior Court (Sharkey), S182355 and In re Lucas on Habeas Corpus, S181788 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

C065429 Third Appellate District S195717

PEOPLE v. VERNI, JR., (JOSEPH ANTHONY)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in

People v. Runyan, S187804 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.524(c)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.528, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S195866 B222645 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

SANTOS (BERNADETTE) v. VITAS HEALTHCARE CORPORATION OF CALIFORNIA

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action is this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *Brinker Restaurant v. Superior Court*, S166350 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.524 (c)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.528, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S195956 A132646 First Appellate District, Div. 2

TURCIOS (ELISEO) v. S.C. (PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY)

Petition ordered withdrawn

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for review is ordered withdrawn.

Chin and Corrigan, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

S152912

HART (JOSEPH WILLIAM) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA)

The petition for a writ of habeas corpus, filed May 22, 2007, is denied.

All claims are denied on the merits and as untimely. (*In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750.)

Claims 1.A and 1.B are also denied as successive because they could have been, but were not, raised on habeas corpus previously. (*In re Robbins, supra*, 18 Cal.4th 770; *In re Clark, supra*, 5 Cal.4th 750.)

S194725 C063517 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. WOODWARD (DANIEL)

Petition for review denied

S194755 G043777 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE JEFFREY H.

Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S194822 C063497/C063505 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. HUNDLEY (RICHARD ANTONIO)

Petitions for review denied

S194831 B223747 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. FLANDERS (ZACHARY EMERSON)

Petition for review denied

S194870 F060411 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MERAZ (ISAAC BROOKS)

Petition for review denied

S194871 G043411 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (ENIO)

Petition for review denied

S194884 E049768 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MIALKOUSKY (DOUGLAS ALEXANDER)

Petition for review denied

S195029 F058857 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (ROBERT

ANTHONY)
Petition for review denied

S195067 C062684 Third Appellate District RICHARDSON (JIMMY) ON H.C.

Н.

S195080 B219499 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 LOPEZ (LORENA) v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied Kennard and Liu, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S195112 A132165 First Appellate District, Div. 3 CALIFORNIA AIR

RESOURCES BOARD v. ASSOCIATION OF

IRRITATED RESIDENTS

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S195113 A129051 First Appellate District, Div. 1 DESERT OUTDOOR

ADVERTISING v. S.C.

(MURPHY)

Petition for review denied

S195119 A128757 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. HUME (EDWARD)

DUFF)

Petition for review denied

S195161 H036157 Sixth Appellate District IN RE FRANCISCO A.

Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S195188 B222591 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. FLORES (OSCAR)

Petition for review denied

S195204 B227129 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. DELACRUZ

(JOSE)

Petition for review denied

S195212 H035530 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SAMANIEGO

(JUAN ANTONIO)

S195216 B223586 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (MIGUEL ANGEL)

Petition for review denied

S195236 D057173 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (ALPHAEUS TYRONE)

Petition for review denied

S195238 D057118 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. WHITE (MICHAEL KEVIN)

Petition for review denied

S195256 B219654 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (ALAN JAVIER)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Vang*, S184212.

Kennard and Liu, JJ., are of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S195293 D060045 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 POULSOM (MICHAEL J.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S195318 B220763 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. JOHNIGAN

(ASHLEY)

Petition for review denied

S195338 F059366 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. GROUX (TIMOTHY MICHAEL)

Petition for review denied

S195339 B222487 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. TUCKER (JESSE

ALAN)

SAN FRANCISCO

Petition for review denied

B234467 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 S195388 **HERNANDEZ (NELSON) ON** H.C. Petition for review denied A129230 First Appellate District, Div. 5 IN RE T.J. S195407 Petition for review denied D059165 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S195416 IN RE CHASE S. Petition for review denied S195418 C063902 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (ALEJANDRO ALFONSO) Petition for review denied B218565 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 S195435 BENSON (MYRA) v. PROSKAUER ROSE LLP Petition for review denied S195441 B234613 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 SIMS (ROBERT ALAN) ON H.C. Petition for review denied A129873 First Appellate District, Div. 1 CITIZENS FOR A BETTER S195442 **EUREKA v. CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION** Petition for review denied B234254 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 LEE (ALBERT) ON H.C. Petition for review denied G045096 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. LOZADA (AARON S195456

ALBURTO)

S195471 B223312 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BROWN (JAMES ARNETT)

S195484 A125262 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. MARVIN (JONATHAN CARROL)

Petition for review denied

Petition for review denied

S195490 G043195 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 SENATOR (BRUCE R.) v. CALDWELL (TY)

Petition for review denied

S195495 B219743 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. WALTON (JERMAINE MARCUS)

Petition for review denied

S195517 G045433 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 **SESMA (ROBERT) ON H.C.** Petition for review denied

S195543 B222367 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 KINCAID (DEBORAH) v. KINCAID (JEFFREY)

Petition for review denied

S195547 C064407 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. DAVID (JACOB GODWIN)

Petition for review denied

S195637 A132563 First Appellate District, Div. 2 LOVE (AUBREY) ON H.C. Petition for review denied

S195638 B234581 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 KURTZ (GARY) v. S.C. (TAXMAN)

A132209 First Appellate District, Div. 5 S195754

INTERWOVEN, INC. &

AMERICAN

MANUFACTURERS

MUTUAL INSURANCE v.

WORKERS'

COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & HEALTHCARE

RECOVERIES, INC.

Petition for review denied

S195757 D058672 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

IN RE N.M.

D060181 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S195764

Petition for review denied

The request for judicial notice is denied.

C064802 Third Appellate District S195778

Petition for review denied

B232484 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 S195783

Petition for review denied

D057745 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

Petition for review denied

S195805

S195810 A132488 First Appellate District, Div. 1

NGUYEN (MAI-TRANG THI)

v. WORKERS'

(SERGIO)

COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & STARBUCKS

COFFEE CORPORATION

Petition for review denied

(DAWSON)

PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (JOE

HUBBARD (THOMAS) v. S.C.

LEWIS)

HERNANDEZ (RAUL) ON

PEOPLE v. PEREDIA

H.C.

S195815 B221074 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. THEUS (JOVANNY P.)

Petition for review denied

S195819 A132793 First Appellate District, Div. 4 BERTOLI (JULIA ANNA) v. S.C. (CITY OF SEBASTOPOL)

Petition for review denied

S195822 B234933 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 AWADALLA (OLIVIA) v. S.C. (GMAC)

Petition for review denied

Corrigan, J., was recused and did not participate.

S195823 H035875 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. PASCALI (NICK BARTOLOMEO)

Petition for review denied

S195824 F059363 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (JESUS

GARCIA)

Petition for review denied

S195825 H035249 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. STEWART (RICHARD LAWRENCE)

Petition for review denied

S195826 H035715 Sixth Appellate District IN RE R.R.

Petition for review denied

S195829 D056493 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. PICKENS (BENJAMIN CHAKRAWAN)

Petition for review denied

S195833 B226008 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (MARCO ANTONIO)

S195838 B222430 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. GUERRERO (EUSEBIO)

Petition for review denied

S195847 B223865 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 STATE FARM GENERAL

INSURANCE COMPANY v. FRAKE (PATRICK)

Petition for review denied

S195851 D058350 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. CONNER

(MARVIN MACKENZIE)

Petition for review denied

S195855 B227005 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. BOYLAN

(GREGORY LEE)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Lara*, S192784.

S195867 C065789 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BROWN (CHARLES LEROY)

Petition for review denied

S195869 A129557 First Appellate District, Div. 1 WILT (BARBARA) v. SMITH

(GWENDOLYN)

Petition for review denied

S195880 B228061 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 MITCHELL (JAMES) v.

BREWER (ERIC)

Petition for review denied

S195890 A125735 First Appellate District, Div. 4 GUTHRIE (LISA) v. CITY OF

MILL VALLEY

E054048 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 S195891 **LUCIANO (TYRONE** MICHAEL) ON H.C. Petition for review denied A132826 First Appellate District, Div. 3 TODD (DEREK) v. S.C. S195893 (ARCHER) Petition for review denied A126379 First Appellate District, Div. 3 S195895 IN RE J.M. Petition for review denied F059147 Fifth Appellate District S195905 PEOPLE v. WILSON (MICHAEL ERIC) Petition for review denied C068933 Third Appellate District S195928 **DUNN (JOHN) ON H.C.** Petition for review denied B234971 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PORTILLO (EDWARD) v. S.C. S195930 (PORTILLO) Petition for review denied B226329 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. BROWN S195933 (CHARLES EDWARD) Petition for review denied

S195934 B234960 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 LAW OFFICES OF ROSEN & ZIMMERMAN v. S.C. (LEE)

Petition for review denied

S195940 B225918 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 IN RE DENZEL W. Petition for review denied

S195941 B224555 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. BIRCHARD (GARY KENNETH)

Petition for review denied

S195946 B225454 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. RAMSEY (TYRONE ROSHAWN)

Petition for review denied

S195947 E051799 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CRIMI (NICHOLAS ANTHONY)

Petition for review denied

S195954 G044007 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. LONG (KEVIN

LOFTON)

Petition for review denied

S196002 B234299 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 GILLIE (JOSEPH) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196025 E054189 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 MELENDEZ (JORGE) ON

н.с.

Petition for review denied

S196639 DEAMICIS (RUTH M.) v.
COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH

APPELLATE DISTRICT

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S189164 UVALLES, JR., (RAUL) ON

H.C.

The Attorney General's request to file exhibits under seal is granted. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

S191407

WILLIAMS (CHARLES ANTHONY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S191425

GARCIA (JOSE MARIO) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S191431

KNAPP (ANDREW JOSEPH)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S191544

ZARAGOZA (LEOPOLDO

GUZMAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S191635

SILVA (DAVID) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S191850

LAVENDER (JOHNNY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S191949

LARA (MANUEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S192036

ABREU (CLIFFORD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S192113 PEREZ, JR., (FERNANDO AVILA) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192143 McKINLEY (ROY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192146 PATEL (MULJI) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S192409 ABRAHAM (ALAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192415 PREVEDELLO

(CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192430 SMITH (WILLIE JAMES) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S192439 DEAN (NATHANIEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192440 BROOKS (HAROLD B.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192487 ORDWAY (PATRICIA A.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S192489 POSEY (ISAIAH CORTEZ) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S192501 HARPER-BEY (VINCENT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192541 THOMAS (WILLIE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S192557 KENNEDY (JAMES A.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S192563 HERNANDEZ (EDWARD) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192565 ENRIQUEZ (GABRIEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192585 DOMINGUEZ (FRANCISCO)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S192604 B227217 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 ENRIQUEZ (GABRIEL) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S192606 MARTINEZ (DAVID) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S192622 LOPEZ (CARLOS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192639 DENENG (JOHNNY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192664 BAUTISTA (RAMIRO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192672 PAOLA (GABRIEL J.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S192679 GARCIA (ARNULFO T.) ON

H.C

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S192682 GARDNER (KEVIN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192683 PRESTON (ROBERT) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S192686 COX (ANDRE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S192688 DOMINGUEZ (ROY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192694

CHIPMAN (MICHAEL L.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798.)

S192697

RICHARDS (STEVEN LOUIS)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S192699

MARKSON (CURTIS) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S192709

BROWN (JEROME) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S192724

URENA (ALFREDO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192736

MITCHELL (WILLARD

EARL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192744

BARKER (RICHARD A.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S192747

CRABB (ROBERT DALE) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S192756

STOCKTON, JR., (ROBERT JOHN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192760

POLK (MAURICE R.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S192761

FERNANDEZ (BRANDON ALEXANDER) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S192781

CASILLAS (ALFREDO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192827

MORALES (LUIS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192834

MILES (KENNETH) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S192837

MARKHAM (RODERICK) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S192849

HERRERA (ARMANDO) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S192864 MARTIN (O. Z.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S192875 SETTLE (JAMES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192876 WILSON (PETER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192878 FULCHER (DONNELL D.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192881 YEH (YOW-MING) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S192882 REYES (GABRIEL RALPH)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S192883 DAVIS (RODNEY) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192889 ARREOLA (SAMUEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192902 O'DELL (ERIC A.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192905 A131649 First Appellate District, Div. 3 WILLIAMS (ELLIS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192912

JOHNSON (DANIEL DeLEON) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

S192917 WALLACE (NATHANIEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192929 HERNANDEZ (WALTER) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192941 JOVEL (RENE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193463 ANDERSON (RICKARD DENNIS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S193719 ORDWAY (PATRICIA A.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S195039 KENNEDY (JAMES A.) ON

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S195226 WILSON (PETER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195269 JOVEL (RENE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S195938 BROWN (RONNIE O.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195967 THORNTON (WILLIAM CECIL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S195970 RAMIREZ (JUAN IRINEO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195975 THORNTON (WILLIAM CECIL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S196023 BARNETT (BRIAN KEITH)
ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S196109 TONNEMACHER (DANIEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S196166 ABED (NABEEL M. H.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S196180 RIVERA (JASON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S196220 **ELERI (CHARLES) ON H.C.**

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193934 A126865 First Appellate District, Div. 5 KELLEY (PATRICK C.) v.

CONCO COMPANIES

Depublication requests denied (case closed)

S195316 F059476 Fifth Appellate District **DEMUCHA (MARK) v.**

WELLS FARGO HOME

MORTGAGE, INC.

Publication request denied (case closed)

Baxter and Corrigan, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

S195475 PEOPLE v. PFISTNER

(WILLIAM LEE)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S195716 G044338 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE A.C.

Publication request denied (case closed)

LATINOS UNIDOS DE NAPA S195813 A129584 First Appellate District, Div. 1

v. CITY OF NAPA

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S196265 PEOPLE v. ALVARADO

(WALTER)

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S195136 A132415 First Appellate District, Div. 2 RICE (ROYLAND) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to

November 8, 2011.

S195187 B222845 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (DEMETRIUS LAMONT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2011.

S195243 G040653 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. HEATH (DANIEL WILLIAM) & O'BRIEN (DENIS TIMOTHY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2011.

S195259 F061974 Fifth Appellate District WILSON (CHRISTOPHER M.) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 28, 2011.

S195276 E054021 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 SHAMBLIN (SHELBY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2011.

S195277 C065457 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. RODESKI (ROBERT MICHAEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2011.

S195287 G043339/G044319 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SANDERS (ROBERT WALTER)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to October 31, 2011.

S195326 F059482 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CARRILLO (TIMOTHY ROBERT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2011.

S195347 H035939 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. BURPEE (TODD DAVID)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2011.

S195356 H036378 Sixth Appellate District BURPEE (TODD DAVID) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2011.

S195389 A126577 First Appellate District, Div. 3 **PEOPLE v. NHEP (KERRY)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2011.

S195392 H034797 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. BURPEE (TODD DAVID)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 2, 2011.

S195393 F060655 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. VILLA (HASANI) The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 3, 2011.

S195398 E050112 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. BELTRAN (MARIO A. LOPEZ)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 3, 2011.

S195409 F059083 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CROUCH (CODY SHANE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 3, 2011.

S195413 A129520 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ALCARAZ-VELASQUEZ (HUGO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 3, 2011.

S195423 D059012 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. VANGELDER (TERRY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 4, 2011.

S195429 B221399 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. WALKER (KAMARON)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 8, 2011.

S195440 D056035 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (REFUGIO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 4, 2011.

S195446 B224615 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. PAYNE (LEETHIEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 4, 2011.

S195448 A127814 First Appellate District, Div. 2 **PEOPLE v. VO (LEROY K.)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 4, 2011.

S195450 E053984 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 WILLIAMS (MICHAEL DEONTRAY) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 4, 2011.

S195460 H037149 Sixth Appellate District

DELGADO (JAVIER TORRES) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 7, 2011.

S195463 G042712 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MOULAYI (MILAD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 7, 2011.

S195465 A128621/A131598 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BARNES (ROBERT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 4, 2011.

S195466 F060504 Fifth Appellate District **HOSKINGS (JOHN) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to

November 7, 2011.

S195481 B234533 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 ALDERETE (RAUL CARRILLO) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 7, 2011.

S195496 A129736 First Appellate District, Div. 2 ALCARAZ-VELASQUEZ (HUGO) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 7, 2011.

S195516 C063543 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. BELTON, JR., (VERNON LEE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 8, 2011.

S195519 E050020 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. HAMMACK (DENNIS LEEROY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 8, 2011.

S195541 B225158 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MARQUEZ (MARIO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 8, 2011.

S195542 H034833 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (ROT VAN)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2011.

S195563 F062823 Fifth Appellate District TORRES (MANDEN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2011.

S195564 B221860 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. TANNER (AUGUSTUS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 9, 2011.

S195565

B221103 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

SEMLER (RONALD H.) v.

GENERAL ELECTRIC

CAPITAL CORPORATION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2011.

S195577 C064935 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. THOMAS, JR., (JAMES HENRY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2011.

S195579 F060345 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. HERRERA (JOSUE DANIEL PALMA)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2011.

S195582 E053654 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

SCHWARTZ (MICHAEL) v. S.C. (MOORE, JR.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2011.

S195596 H035390 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ALMALIK (YASIN

VERNON)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2011.

S022998

PEOPLE v. TOWNSEL (ANTHONY LETRICE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by December 15, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 5, 2011. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 370 additional days are contemplated.

S044693

PEOPLE v. WALL (RANDALL CLARK)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing therefor, the application of the court reporter for an extension of time to comply with the order filed April 20, 2011, is granted. The reporter's transcript must be completed on or before October 27, 2011.

S075727

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (CEDRIC JEROME)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Joseph E. Chabot's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by March 28, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only eight further extensions totaling about 480 additional days are

contemplated.

S076169

PEOPLE v. PARKER (GERALD)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jeffrey J. Gale's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by February 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 16, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S087773

PEOPLE v. GOMEZ (RUBEN PEREZ)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lynne S. Coffin's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 28, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S091898

PEOPLE v. RUBIO (GILBERT RAUL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Christina A. Spaulding's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S097363

PEOPLE v. MERRIMAN (JUSTIN JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by August 1, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S098318

PEOPLE v. HENDERSON (PAUL NATHAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Martin H. Dodd's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 22, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 40 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S099274

PEOPLE v. BROOKS (DONALD LEWIS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John L. Staley's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by February 2, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 14, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 80 additional days are contemplated.

S101984

PEOPLE v. CHISM (CALVIN DION)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark D. Lenenberg's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by March 31, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S103358

PEOPLE v. BARRERA (MARCO ESQUIVEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Ellen J. Eggers's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 31, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 270 additional days will be granted.

S105908

PEOPLE v. GHOBRIAL (JOHN SAMUEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Collette C. Cavalier's

representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by January 9, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 9, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S117489

PEOPLE v. WINBUSH (GRAYLAND)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard Jay Moller's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S118147

PEOPLE v. MIRANDA-GUERRERO (VICTOR M.)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Denise Kendall's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 30, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

S122611

PEOPLE v. STESKAL (MAURICE GERALD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gilbert Gaynor's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 19, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S128402

PEOPLE v. DONALDSON (JASARI LATIFUL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Harry Gruber's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 2012, counsel's

request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 2, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S158842

BARNWELL (LAMAR) ON

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Geraldine S. Russell's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by June 1, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S167792

LOKER (KEITH THOMAS) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Charles C. Ragland's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 29, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 29, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S187622

O'MALLEY III (JAMES FRANCIS) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Nanette Winaker's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 6, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 6, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S190713 G040716 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. WILKINS (COLE ALLEN)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to October 27, 2011.

S191765

BROWNLEE (GARY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to October 29, 2011.

S191944 B217668 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF v. LOS ANGELES COUNTY EMPLOYEE RELATIONS COMMISSION (SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 721)

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to November 7, 2011.

S192536 H035123 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ANZALONE (CHRISTINA MARIE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to October 28, 2011.

S192768 B204908 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

LEUNG (AIDAN MING-HO) v. VERDUGO HILLS HOSPITAL

Extension of time granted

On application of plaintiff, cross-complainant and respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to October 28, 2011.

S193493 H034826 Sixth Appellate District

CEJA (NANCY) v. RUDOLPH & SLETTEN, INC./(CEJA)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 14, 2011.

S194708 G044138 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 SIERRA CLUB v. S.C. (COUNTY OF ORANGE)

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to November 14, 2011.

S082101

PEOPLE v. RHOADES (ROBERT BOYD)

Motion for access to sealed record granted

Respondent's "Motion for Confidential and/or Sealed Documents," filed on August 5, 2011, is granted in part.

The Clerk is directed to provide counsel for respondent with copies of the following material sealed by the Superior Court of Sacramento County pursuant to Penal Code, section 987.9, in the appellate record in *People v. Rhoades*, S082101:

- 1) October 5, 1998, Sealed Reporter's Transcript (SRT) pages, 6711-6719
- 2) December 1, 1998, SRT, pages 7118-7119
- 3) March 10, 1999, SRT, pages 11769-11772
- 4) Volume 43 Reporter's Transcript of Sealed 987.9 Proceeding, pages 12797-12810
- 5) Sealed Clerk's Transcript, Volume 10, pages 2860-2864.

It is ordered that respondent not release or cause to be released any of the confidential or sealed materials, or the information contained therein. If counsel wishes to quote, cite, disclose, or describe the confidential or sealed material in any court papers, those papers must themselves be filed under seal, for which permission must first be sought under California Rules of Court, rule 8.46(g).

The motion is denied with respect to People's Exhibits 34 and 41, which are not documents falling within the scope of Penal Code section 987.9, subdivision (d). The denial is without prejudice to respondent's seeking access to these exhibits in the superior court.

S006938

PEOPLE v. WEBB (DENNIS DUANE)

Motion denied

Appellant's "Application for Release of Files, Records and Any Confidential Materials," filed on August 19, 2011, is denied without prejudice.

Should appellant elect to renew his application, he must specifically demonstrate what materials he believes are in the exclusive possession of this court to which he was not previously granted access pursuant to our order of June 16, 1998, granting his earlier "Application for Release of Files, Records and Any Confidential Material," filed in this court on April 21, 1998.

Furthermore, appellant must indicate whether his current request for exhibits encompasses those exhibits, court exhibits 2 and 3, to which he was denied access in our order of May 16, 1991, and, if so, he must make a showing in support of reconsideration of that order.

S196334

SOTO (WILLIAM) v. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied

S195835

ACCUSATION OF NEWMAN

Petition denied

(accusation)

S194982

LUOSTARI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WALTER R. LUOSTARI, State Bar Number 94326, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

WALTER R. LUOSTARI must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S194984

LEVINSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DONALD ERWIN LEVINSON, State Bar Number 68360, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DONALD ERWIN LEVINSON is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. DONALD ERWIN LEVINSON must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 1, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DONALD ERWIN LEVINSON has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DONALD ERWIN LEVINSON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide

satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If DONALD ERWIN LEVINSON fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S194985

HOLLINGSWORTH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEPHEN CHARLES HOLLINGSWORTH, State Bar Number 200609, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEPHEN CHARLES HOLLINGSWORTH is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first three years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. STEPHEN CHARLES HOLLINGSWORTH must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 8, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEPHEN CHARLES HOLLINGSWORTH has complied with all conditions of probation, the four-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEPHEN CHARLES HOLLINGSWORTH must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

STEPHEN CHARLES HOLLINGSWORTH must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

S194986

GRIFFIN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN HAYS GRIFFIN, State Bar Number 220368, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JOHN HAYS GRIFFIN is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of one year, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He provides to the State Bar Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session;
 - ii. He takes and passes the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination and provides proof of passage to the State Bar Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)
 - iii. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
 - iv. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding conditions, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. JOHN HAYS GRIFFIN must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.

JOHN HAYS GRIFFIN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S194988

DONCEV ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that HARVEY SHALOM DONCEV, State Bar Number 231170, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. HARVEY SHALOM DONCEV must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 8, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

HARVEY SHALOM DONCEV must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

and as a money judgment.

S195084

MACY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JAMES ALLEN MACY, State Bar Number 57677, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JAMES ALLEN MACY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195086

NEMIROFF ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WARREN NEIL NEMIROFF, State Bar Number 62262, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. WARREN NEIL NEMIROFF is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation:
- 2. WARREN NEIL NEMIROFF must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 2, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if WARREN NEIL NEMIROFF has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If WARREN NEIL NEMIROFF fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S195087

PARTRIDGE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JAMES SYME PARTRIDGE, State Bar Number 136207, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

1. JAMES SYME PARTRIDGE is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements

are satisfied:

- i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. JAMES SYME PARTRIDGE must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 6, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JAMES SYME PARTRIDGE has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JAMES SYME PARTRIDGE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195089

TORRES II ON DISCIPLINE

1912

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that RICARDO ANTHONY TORRES II, State Bar Number 164848, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. RICARDO ANTHONY TORRES II must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 3, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

RICARDO ANTHONY TORRES II must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195090

ZODROW ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN JOSEPH ZODROW, State Bar Number 199349, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JOHN JOSEPH ZODROW must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S192322

DICKSON ON DISCIPLINE

Request for rehearing of State Bar discipline denied – ROY CHESTER DICKSON

S193580

BOYAJIAN ON RESIGNATION

Resignation declined

This court, having considered the request, declines to accept the voluntary resignation with disciplinary charges pending of JACK HAGOP BOYAJIAN, State Bar Number 202304, as a member of the State Bar of California. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(d).) JACK HAGOP BOYAJIAN remains on inactive status. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.21(a).) He may move in the State Bar Court to be restored to active status, at which time the Office of Chief Trial Counsel may demonstrate any basis for his continued ineligibility to practice law. The State Bar Court will expedite the resolution of any request by JACK HAGOP BOYAJIAN to be restored to active status. Any return to active status will be conditioned on JACK HAGOP BOYAJIAN's payment of any dues, penalty payments, and restitution owed by him. The underlying disciplinary matter should proceed promptly.

S193766

TAYLOR ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of GRAHAM RODERICK TAYLOR, State Bar Number 100357, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If GRAHAM RODERICK TAYLOR subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

GRAHAM RODERICK TAYLOR must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S194228

BRENMAN ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disciplinary proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation with charges pending of JEREMY SCOTT BRENMAN, State Bar

Number 207073, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted. If JEREMY SCOTT BRENMAN subsequently seeks reinstatement, the State Bar may consider all disciplinary charges that are currently pending against him.

JEREMY SCOTT BRENMAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may be considered in any future reinstatement proceeding.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 994)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)